2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE JOSEPH C. BUTNER SBN 005229 DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY 255 East Gurley Street Prescott, AZ 86301 Telephone: 928-771-3344 ycao@co.yavapai.az.us



2010 MAY 21 AM 8: 20 FILED

> B. Chamberlain DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

STATE OF ARIZONA,	Cause No. P1300CR20081339
Plaintiff,	Division 6
v.	STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S
	MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE
STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,	STATE FROM CALLING DEFENSE
	EXPERT PETER BARNETT AS A
Defendant.	PROSECUTION WITNESS

The State of Arizona, by and through Sheila Sullivan Polk, Yavapai County Attorney, and her deputy undersigned, hereby submits its Response to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude State from Calling Defense Expert Peter Barnett as a Prosecution Witness. The Motion should be denied because Defendant waived any privilege he may have had after he provided the State with copies of the documents Mr. Barnett prepared and allowed the State to interview him.

Defendant identified Mr. Barnett as a testifying expert and assisted in setting up his interview by the State, which was held on April 26, 2010. Before the interview, John Sears stated that Mr. Barnett was originally retained to consult on physical evidence, shoeprint evidence and tire track evidence, and would testify concerning blood spatter evidence. The

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

State was not allowed to question him regarding any observations or conclusions relating to shoeprint or tire track evidence.

Mr. Barnett stated that he would be testifying at trial about blood spatter evidence. The State interviewed him regarding his investigation, opinions and conclusions concerning that evidence. The diagrams he prepared in conjunction with his blood spatter investigation had been disclosed to the State three days before the interview, on April 23, 2010.

If any privilege existed concerning Mr. Barnett's diagrams and testimony, it was waived by Defendant allowing the State to interview him and providing the diagrams. "The work product doctrine is not absolute. Like any qualified privilege, a defendant may waive all or part of the protection...." *State v. Ybarra*, 161 Ariz. 188, 193, 777 P.2d 686, 691 (1989). *See also Austin v. Alfred*, 163 Ariz. 397, 788 P.2d 130 (App. 1990) (protection afforded by work product principle or attorney-client privilege for all non-testifying mental health professionals retained by a defendant was subject to waiver).

Defendant waived any work product or attorney-client privilege. The State should be permitted to call Mr. Barnett as an expert witness in its case in chief.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2017 day of May, 2010.

Sheila Sullivan Polk

YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY

By:

Yoseph C/Butner

Deputy County Attorney

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300

Prescott, AZ 86301

Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

Phone: (928) 771-3344

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COPIES of the foregoing delivered this 20th day of May, 2010, to: 2 218 Honorable Thomas J. Lindberg 3 Division 6 Yavapai County Superior Court 4 John Sears 5 107 North Cortez Street, Suite 104 6 Prescott, AZ 86301 Attorney for Defendant 7 Larry Hammond 8 Anne Chapman Osborn Maledon, P.A. 2929 North Central Ave, 21st Floor 10 Phoenix, AZ Attorney for Defendant 11 12 13 14 15 16